IMPORTANCE Most cognitive functions decline with age. Prior studies suggest that testosterone treatment may improve these functions.

OBJECTIVE To determine if testosterone treatment compared with placebo is associated with improved verbal memory and other cognitive functions in older men with low testosterone and age-associated memory impairment (AAMI).

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS The Testosterone Trials (TTrials) were 7 trials to assess the efficacy of testosterone treatment in older men with low testosterone levels. The Cognitive Function Trial evaluated cognitive function in all TTrials participants. In 12 US academic medical centers, 788 men who were 65 years or older with a serum testosterone level less than 275 ng/mL and impaired sexual function, physical function, or vitality were allocated to testosterone treatment (n = 394) or placebo (n = 394). A subgroup of 493 men met criteria for AAMI based on baseline subjective memory complaints and objective memory performance. Enrollment in the TTrials began June 24, 2010; the final participant completed treatment and assessment in June 2014.

INTERVENTIONS Testosterone gel (adjusted to maintain the testosterone level within the normal range for young men) or placebo gel for 1 year.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES The primary outcome was the mean change from baseline to 6 months and 12 months for delayed paragraph recall (score range, 0 to 50) among men with AAMI. Secondary outcomes were mean changes in visual memory (Benton Visual Retention Test; score range, 0 to −26), executive function (Trail-Making Test B minus A; range, −290 to 290), and spatial ability (Card Rotation Test; score range, −80 to 80) among men with AAMI. Tests were administered at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months.

RESULTS Among the 493 men with AAMI (mean age, 72.3 years [SD, 5.8]; mean baseline testosterone, 234 ng/dL [SD, 65.1]), 247 were assigned to receive testosterone and 246 to receive placebo. Of these groups, 247 men in the testosterone group and 245 men in the placebo completed the memory study. There was no significant mean change from baseline to 6 and 12 months in delayed paragraph recall score among men with AAMI in the testosterone and placebo groups (adjusted estimated difference, −0.07 [95% CI, −0.92 to 0.79]; P = .88). Mean scores for delayed paragraph recall were 14.0 at baseline, 16.0 at 6 months, and 16.2 at 12 months in the testosterone group and 14.4 at baseline, 16.0 at 6 months, and 16.5 at 12 months in the placebo group. Testosterone was also not associated with significant differences in visual memory (−0.28 [95% CI, −0.76 to 0.19]; P = .24), executive function (−5.51 [95% CI, −12.91 to 1.88]; P = .14), or spatial ability (−0.12 [95% CI, −1.89 to 1.65]; P = .89).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE Among older men with low testosterone and age-associated memory impairment, treatment with testosterone for 1 year compared with placebo was not associated with improved memory or other cognitive functions.

TRIAL REGISTRATION clinicaltrials.gov Identifier: NCT00799617
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Aging is associated with declines in some cognitive functions, including verbal and visual memory, executive function, and spatial ability.\(^1,3^\) Aging in men is also associated with a reduction in serum testosterone,\(^4,5^\) raising the possibility that reduced circulating testosterone concentration may contribute to age-related cognitive decline. Support for this hypothesis comes from studies of clinical conditions that cause low testosterone levels,\(^6,7^\) epidemiological investigations,\(^8,9^\) and small randomized trials showing improved memory with testosterone supplementation.\(^10^\) Together, these studies suggest that lower testosterone levels may be associated with poorer cognitive functioning in older men and that testosterone treatment may improve cognitive functioning, especially memory.

An Institute of Medicine panel\(^11^\) recommended investigating the effects of testosterone treatment on conditions, including cognitive impairment, that might be caused by the decrease in testosterone. Men with age-associated memory impairment (AAMI) represent a clinically important group at risk for developing more severe memory impairment (eg, mild cognitive impairment and dementia)\(^12^\) for whom testosterone intervention may be beneficial. AAMI is defined by subjective complaints of memory decline and scores at least 1 SD below the mean for young adults on objective memory testing.\(^13^\) A large percentage of community-dwelling older adults meets these criteria.\(^14^\)

The Cognitive Function Trial determined the efficacy of testosterone treatment on cognitive outcomes among older men enrolled in the Testosterone Trials (TTrials) with low testosterone likely due to age.\(^15^\) The primary hypothesis of the Cognitive Function Trial was that testosterone treatment for 1 year would improve or slow decline in verbal memory in the subgroup of men 65 years or older with an average testosterone level of less than 275 ng/dL and AAMI. Secondary aims were to determine if testosterone treatment affects other cognitive functions in these men with AAMI, and exploratory aims were to determine the effect of testosterone treatment on cognitive function in all men in the TTrials.

### Methods

#### Study Design

The TTrials are a coordinated set of 7 double-blind, placebo-controlled trials conducted at 12 US academic medical centers.\(^15^\) To qualify for the TTrials, participants had to qualify for the Sexual Function Trial, the Physical Function Trial, or the Vitality Trial.\(^16^\) Cognitive tests were administered to all participants, but the Cognitive Function Trial’s primary focus was the subgroup of men with AAMI.

The trial protocol (Supplement 1) and consent form were approved by the institutional review boards of the University of Pennsylvania and all trial sites. All participants provided written, informed consent. An unblinded data and safety monitoring board monitored accumulating safety data every 3 months.

### Participants

Participants for the TTrials were recruited and screened as described.\(^16^\) Respondents were screened first by telephone and then at 2 clinic visits. Inclusion criteria for the TTrials overall were 65 years or older and the mean of 2 morning serum testosterone concentrations less than 275 ng/dL (to convert to nmol/L, multiply by 0.0347). Additionally, inclusion in the Sexual Function Trial required self-reported decreased libido and sexual activity, inclusion in the Physical Function Trial required self-reported difficulty walking or climbing stairs and low gait speed, and inclusion in the Vitality Trial required self-reported fatigue and reduced vitality.\(^16^\) Exclusion criteria included a recent history or evidence of increased risk of conditions that testosterone might exacerbate, cognitive impairment (Mini-Mental State Examination score <24), and severe depression (Patient Health Questionnaire-9 [PHQ-9] score ≥20) (eAppendix in Supplement 2).\(^17^\)

Men were classified as having AAMI if they had both subjective memory complaints and relative impairment on objective tests of memory performance. Subjective memory complaints were indicated by a score of 4 or 5 on at least 1 item of the Memory Assessment Clinics Questionnaire (MAC-Q).\(^18^\) Objective memory impairment was defined by a score more than 1 SD below the performance for young men (aged 20-24 years) but not greater than 2 SD below the scores of age-matched men on tests of delayed paragraph recall or visual memory. Men were “normal for age” if they did not meet criteria for AAMI and had scores of 80 or more on the Modified Mini-Mental State Examination (3MSE) measure of global cognitive function. Demographic characteristics including self-reported race (white, African American, or other) and ethnicity (Hispanic or non-Hispanic) were collected because there are race differences in genetic risk factors for some conditions that affect cognitive function.

### Treatment

In the TTrials overall, participants were allocated to treatment by minimization, with participants assigned to the optimally balanced treatment with 80% probability.\(^19,20^\) Balancing variables included participation in each of the 3 main trials of the TTrials (Sexual Function Trial, Physical Function Trial, or Vitality Trial), trial site, screening testosterone concentration (<200 ng/dL or >200 ng/dL), age (≥75 years or <75 years), and the treatment of age-associated memory decline in older men with symptomatic hypogonadism.
Testosterone was measured by equilibrium dialysis. All samples were assayed at the Assay Core Laboratory in Boston, Massachusetts, using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. The dose of testosterone was adjusted by an unblinded staff person at the University of Pennsylvania, data coordinating center following a prespecified algorithm. Serum testosterone concentration was measured at visit 1, 2, 3, 6, and 9 in a central laboratory (Quest Clinical Laboratories, Valencia, California). The testosterone gel was adjusted by an unblinded staff person at the University of Pennsylvania data coordinating center following a prespecified algorithm after each measurement to keep the concentration within the mid-normal range for young men (500-800 ng/dL). To maintain blinding when the dose was adjusted in a participant taking testosterone, the dose was changed simultaneously in a participant taking placebo gel.

**Hormone Assessment**
Serum concentrations of testosterone, free testosterone, dihydrotestosterone, estradiol, and sex hormone–binding globulin were measured at the end of the trial in sera frozen at −80°C. Steroid assays were performed in the Brigham Research Assay Core Laboratory in Boston, Massachusetts, using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry, and free testosterone was measured by equilibrium dialysis. All samples from each participant were measured in the same assay run.

**Trial Outcomes**
The primary outcome was mean change from baseline to 6 months and to 12 months in delayed paragraph recall score among men with AAMI. Secondary outcomes were change from baseline in visual memory, executive function, and spatial ability among men with AAMI. Exploratory outcomes included change from baseline on these measures among all men enrolled in the T-Trials, as well as change in global cognition, subjective memory complaints, and immediate paragraph recall. We also performed additional exploratory analyses of the subgroup of men who were normal for age (normal for age subgroup) because recent trials in Alzheimer disease suggest that treatments may be less effective in the presence of cognitive impairment and irreversible neuronal damage that may be present among men with AAMI.

**Cognitive Assessment**
The methods for cognitive assessments are detailed in Supplement 2. The cognitive battery was administered at baseline, 6 months, and 12 months and included measures of subjective memory complaints (MAC-Q); verbal memory by immediate paragraph recall (Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised Logical Memory I) and delayed paragraph recall (Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised Logical Memory II); visual memory (Benton Visual Retention Test [BVRT]; score range, 0 to −26);22 executive function (Trail-Making Test B minus A [TMT B − A]; range, −290 to 290); and spatial ability (Card Rotations Test; score range, −80 to 80). TMT B − A was used as an outcome because it provides a purer measure of executive function, adjusting for visuomotor speed and attention. Global cognitive function (3MSE) was assessed at baseline and 12 months. To minimize practice effects, 3 versions (A, B, and C) of Logical Memory, BVRT, and the Card Rotations Test were used in the test battery. All participants in the T-Trials, regardless of AAMI status, were randomly allocated to 1 of 3 test battery orders for baseline, 6-month, and 12-month assessments (ABC, BCA, or CAB).

The Cognition Reading Center (CRC) at Wake Forest School of Medicine provided training, oversight, and quality control of cognitive testing following established procedures. CRC and National Institute on Aging investigators conducted a centralized in-person training session of testers from the 12 clinic sites. Subsequently, testers audio-taped a practice administration and submitted it for CRC certification. Recertification was required every 6 months for the first year and annually thereafter. During the trial, the CRC staff monitored the certified testers and assisted in training new testers. The CRC scored the cognitive measures and entered the data.

**Statistical Analysis**

**Power Analysis**
The statistical analytic plan is available in Supplement 1. Data from earlier studies of delayed paragraph recall showed testosterone-associated effect sizes ranging from 0.13 to 0.62. Based on our estimate of the proportion of men recruited who would meet the AAMI criteria, we determined that we would be able to detect an effect size of 0.3 (based on change from baseline to 12 months), corresponding to a 3-point difference between testosterone and placebo groups in change from baseline to 12 months in delayed paragraph recall. A 3-point difference is equivalent to a change in score from the 50th percentile performance for a man aged 70 to 74 years to that of a man aged 45 to 54 years.

**Data Analysis**
Participants were analyzed in the treatment group to which they had been allocated, following the intention-to-treat principle. Primary analyses of outcomes from all time points were performed with mixed-effects models for longitudinal data. Models entered visit time (6 months or 12 months) as a categorical variable. Models initially included a main effect of treatment and treatment by visit interaction, but interaction terms were removed if they did not achieve statistical significance at the .05 level. Additional fixed effects were the baseline value for each outcome, balancing variables, and PHQ-9 depressive symptom score. Random intercepts were included for participants. After removing the treatment by visit interaction (nonsignificant for all cognitive outcomes), the estimated difference denotes the difference in the mean change from baseline to 6 months and to 12 months between treatment groups. All hypothesis tests were 2-sided and conducted at an α level of .05. We did not adjust analyses of the primary and secondary outcomes for multiple comparisons because the correlations among outcomes were expected to be high, making such adjustment excessively conservative. Mixed-effects models provide unbiased estimates of estimated differences under the assumption of data missing at random, meaning that missingness is associated at most with observed data and not unobserved responses. No additional factors were included.
The number analyzed is based on the primary outcome variable for the Cognitive Function Trial (delayed paragraph recall score).

Adherence and Hormone Levels

Adherence to treatment in the TTrials was assessed by weighing gel bottles at each visit and was judged as excellent (mean adherence >92% at each site). Testosterone treatment increased the serum concentrations of total and free testosterone and estradiol in men with AAMI (eFigure 1 in Supplement 2) and in all men to levels in the mid-normal range for agesubgroup.
range for healthy young men aged 19 to 40 years. These levels were unchanged in men receiving placebo.

Primary Outcome Analysis
Among men with AAMI, testosterone treatment compared with placebo was not associated with significant differences in the mean change from baseline to month 6 and to month 12 in delayed paragraph recall (adjusted estimated difference, −0.07 [95% CI, −0.92 to 0.79]; \( P = .88 \)) (Table 3 and Figure 2). Mean delayed paragraph recall scores (score range, 0 to 50) were 14.0 at baseline, 16.0 at month 6, and 16.2 at month 12 in the testosterone group and 14.4 at baseline, 16.2 at month 6, and 16.5 at month 12 in the placebo group. The difference in mean change between treatment groups did not vary significantly by visit month. Analyses evaluating whether the association of testosterone treatment with memory and cognitive function varied by baseline testosterone level and by baseline global cognition yielded similar results.

Secondary Outcome Analyses
Among men with AAMI, there was no significant association between testosterone treatment and mean change from base-
line to month 6 and month 12 in visual memory (adjusted estimated difference, −0.28 [95% CI, −0.76 to 0.19]; \( P = .24 \)), executive function (adjusted estimated difference, −5.51 [95% CI, −12.91 to 1.88]; \( P = .14 \)), or spatial ability (adjusted estimated difference, −0.12 [95% CI, −1.89 to 1.65]; \( P = .89 \)) (Table 3 and Figure 2). Mean scores for visual memory were −8.2 at baseline, −7.7 at 6 months, and −7.7 at 12 months in the testosterone group and −8.2 at baseline, −7.7 at 6 months, and −7.3 at 12 months in the placebo group. Mean scores for spatial ability were 28.7 at baseline, 30.8 at 6 months, and 31.1 at 12 months in the testosterone group and 30.0 at baseline, 31.6 at 6 months, and 32.4 at 12 months in the placebo group. Mean scores for executive function were 86.4 at baseline, 74.5 at 6 months, 76.0 at 12 months in the testosterone group and 76.7 at baseline, 74.3 at 6 months, and 78.5 at 12 months in the placebo group.

**Exploratory Outcome Analyses**

Among all men in the TTrials (with and without AAMI), there were also no significant associations of testosterone treatment with mean change from baseline to month 6 and month 12 in delayed paragraph recall (adjusted estimated difference, 0.09 [95% CI, −0.57 to 0.75]; \( P = .80 \)), visual memory (adjusted estimated difference, −0.34 [95% CI, −0.70 to 0.01]; \( P = .06 \)), or spatial ability (adjusted estimated difference, −0.08 [95% CI, −1.44 to 1.28]; \( P = .91 \)) (Table 4). Mean scores among all men for visual memory were −7.5 at baseline, −7.3 at 6 months, and −7.1 at 12 months in the testosterone group and −7.3 at baseline, −7.1 at 6 months, and −6.6 at 12 months in the placebo group. Mean scores among all men for spatial ability were 29.7 at baseline, 31.8 at 6 months, and 32.7 at 12 months in the testosterone group and 31.4 at baseline, 33.0 at 6 months, and 34.0 at 12 months in the placebo group. In all men, executive function showed a small improvement in the testosterone group compared with the placebo group (adjusted estimated difference, 0.28 [95% CI, 0.12 to 0.43]; \( P < .05 \)).
Among older men with symptomatic hypogonadism and low baseline testosterone, testosterone treatment compared with placebo for 1 year was not associated with significant improvement in memory and other cognitive functions. The lack of association between testosterone treatment and cognition was apparent across all cognitive domains assessed among men with AAMI, in spite of an increase in circulating total and free testosterone concentrations in the testosterone group to levels typical of men aged 19 to 40 years.16

**Discussion**

Among older men with symptomatic hypogonadism and low baseline testosterone, testosterone treatment compared with placebo for 1 year was not associated with significant improvement in memory and other cognitive functions. The lack of association between testosterone treatment and cognition was apparent across all cognitive domains assessed among men with AAMI, in spite of an increase in circulating total and free testosterone concentrations in the testosterone group to levels typical of men aged 19 to 40 years.16
**Figure 2. Adjusted Mean Change From Baseline to 6 Months and 12 Months for Men With AAMI by Treatment Group (Testosterone vs Placebo) for Verbal Memory (Delayed Paragraph Recall), Visual Memory, Executive Function, and Spatial Ability**

AAMI indicates age-associated memory impairment. Error bars indicate 95% CIs. The score range for the delayed paragraph recall test (Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised Logical Memory II) was 0 to 50. Benton Visual Retention Test scores could range from 0 to 26 but were inverted to -26 to 0 so that higher scores indicated better performance. Possible scores for the Trail-Making Test B minus A range from -290 to 290. The range of possible scores for the Card Rotation Test was -80 to 80. Some participants completed the Delayed Paragraph Recall Test at baseline but not the secondary assessments.

Verbal memory by delayed paragraph recall performance among men with AAMI was selected as the primary outcome based on prior findings in small clinical trials and its clinical importance. Verbal memory declines with age, and the decline is accelerated in the years preceding clinical dementia, including Alzheimer disease. Delayed paragraph recall performance requires integrity of the hippocampus, which contains both androgen and estrogen receptors, providing a biological basis for the actions of testosterone or its active metabolite, estradiol. In addition, verbal memory has been associated with circulating testosterone levels in epidemiologic studies of aging men, and may be impaired with androgen deprivation, and has shown improvement after testosterone treatment in some previous short-term trials among older men.

Cognitive assessments were performed among all men in the TTrials, although the principal analyses focused on the subgroup of men who met criteria for AAMI, which defined a sample of men with subjective symptoms of memory decline and objective reductions in memory performance. Testosterone-treated men did show a small increase in executive function with the increased statistical power in all men combined but no other outcomes; it is difficult to interpret this difference in a single exploratory outcome given the multiple outcomes assessed. We found no significant association between testosterone treatment and memory or other cognitive function in the normal for age subgroup.

In spite of previously reported associations between testosterone and verbal memory, the results of this Cognitive Function Trial offers no support for a benefit to memory and little or no support for a benefit to other cognitive functions in older hypogonadal men. It is possible that the mode of treatment and participant characteristics might have contributed to differences between the current findings and those reported previously. Some prior studies used doses of injectable testosterone preparations that cause supraphysiological peak and higher average testosterone levels over time than in our study of testosterone gels. Testosterone gels provide more stable physiological levels. Effects of injectable testosterone may reflect acutely changing testosterone levels,
Another difference between men enrolled in the TTrials and those studied in many prior trials was the selection of men with low testosterone and well-defined cognitive function at baseline. The testosterone treatment increased circulating total and free testosterone and estradiol levels to within the normal ranges for young men. The Cognitive Function Trial included assessment of a range of cognitive functions, including verbal and visual memory, spatial ability, and executive function, with alternate forms and randomized test orders to minimize practice effects with repeated use of the same test. The cognitive domains and specific measures were selected based on prior studies showing associations with treatment, and sensitivity of the cognitive outcome measures to hormone action and practice effects. The Cognitive Function Trial had more than 90% power to detect a clinically meaningful increase in verbal memory performance, although it was not powered to detect very small effects, smaller decreases, or both for the testosterone group compared with the placebo group.

### Table 4. Effect of Testosterone on Cognitive Function Outcomes Among All Men in the Testosterone Trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Outcome</th>
<th>Mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>Change From Baseline Values, Adjusted&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Difference (95% CI)&lt;sup&gt;c,d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>P Value&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of Participants</strong></td>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
<td><strong>Treatment Period Values</strong></td>
<td><strong>Month 6</strong></td>
<td><strong>Month 12</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delayed paragraph recall (Logical Memory II)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone 392</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>(14.6 to 16.0)</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>(16.5 to 18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo 393</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>(15.0 to 16.3)</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>(16.5 to 18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary Outcome</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual memory (Benton Visual Retention Test)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone 391</td>
<td>–7.5</td>
<td>(&lt;7.8 to 7.1)</td>
<td>–7.3</td>
<td>(&lt;7.8 to 6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo 393</td>
<td>–7.3</td>
<td>(&lt;7.6 to 7.9)</td>
<td>–7.1</td>
<td>(&lt;7.5 to 6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial ability (Card Rotation Test)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone 387</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>(28.2 to 31.2)</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>(30.2 to 33.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo 388</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>(29.9 to 32.9)</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>(31.3 to 34.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive function (Trail-Making Test B − A), s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone 389</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>(76.8 to 89.4)</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>(63.5 to 74.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo 392</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>(68.1 to 78.5)</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>(64.7 to 76.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exploratory Outcomes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective memory complaints (MAC-Q)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone 392</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>(22.7 to 23.8)</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>(22.0 to 23.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo 393</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>(23.0 to 24.0)</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>(22.5 to 23.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global cognitive function (3MSE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone 388</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>(89.3 to 96.3)</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>(91.1 to 94.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo 386</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>(92.9 to 93.9)</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>(91.1 to 94.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate paragraph recall (Logical Memory I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone 392</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>(18.8 to 20.1)</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>(20.0 to 21.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo 393</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>(19.1 to 20.3)</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>(20.2 to 21.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** 3MSE, Modified Mini-Mental State Examination; MAC-Q, Memory Assessment Clinics Questionnaire.

* Higher scores reflect better cognitive function except for Trail-Making Test B minus A and MAC-Q scores wherein lower scores indicate better cognitive function.

* Positive values indicate greater increase or less decrease for participants allocated to testosterone vs placebo. Variables included for adjustment are listed in footnote c.

* The difference is the mean difference in the change from baseline to 6 months and 12 months in participants allocated to testosterone vs placebo adjusted for balancing factors: baseline testosterone level (<200 ng/dL), age (<75), site, participation in main trials, use of antidepressants, and use of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors. Analyses were also adjusted for education level. A positive estimated difference indicates greater increases, smaller decreases, or both for the testosterone group compared with the placebo group.

The estimated difference and P value were determined by a linear mixed-model with a random effect for participants using outcomes at month 6 and month 12.

depending on timing of cognitive testing relative to testosterone peaks and troughs. However, our findings are consistent with the lack of significant cognitive effects in a smaller, but longer-term (36 months), placebo-controlled trial of biweekly intramuscular testosterone injections in older men. Another difference between men enrolled in the TTrials and those studied in many prior trials was the selection of men with subjective memory complaints and unequivocally low baseline testosterone levels.

The Cognitive Function Trial of the TTrials is, to our knowledge, the largest placebo-controlled study conducted to date of testosterone effects on cognition in older men with low testosterone levels. The trial addresses limitations of prior studies including small sample sizes, variability in baseline testosterone levels and cognitive function of participants, variability in dose and duration of testosterone therapy, and sensitivity of the cognitive outcome measures to hormone action and practice effects. The Cognitive Function Trial had more than 90% power to detect a clinically meaningful increase in verbal memory performance, although it was not powered to detect very small effects, and was conducted in older men who had unequivocally low testosterone and well-defined cognitive function at baseline. The testosterone treatment increased circulating total and free testosterone and estradiol levels to within the normal ranges for young men. The Cognitive Function Trial included assessment of a range of cognitive functions, including verbal and visual memory, spatial ability, and executive function, with alternate forms and randomized test orders to minimize practice effects with repeated use of the same test. The cognitive domains and specific measures were selected based on prior studies showing associations with
circulating testosterone in observational studies, as well as beneficial effects of testosterone on memory function in small randomized trials of younger and older men. The procedures for cognitive assessment and scoring were rigorous and followed established procedures for centralized training, certification, and scoring. One year of testosterone treatment had no or little effect on cognition.

This study had several limitations. First, AAMI status was not a balancing factor in treatment allocation, although the 2 treatment groups did not differ with respect to baseline demographic characteristics, clinical characteristics, or cognitive performance. Second, the participants in this study were older men with symptomatic hypogonadism and unequivocally low baseline testosterone with no known cause other than aging, so the findings may not generalize to other populations, such as men with normal testosterone or older or younger men, or men with more severe androgen deficiency due to testicular or pituitary disease. Third, it is possible that a longer treatment duration could yield a different result.

Conclusions

Among older men with low testosterone and AAMI, testosterone treatment for 1 year was not associated with improved memory or other cognitive functions.
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