Outbreaks of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Infections Among Children Associated With Farm Visits—Pennsylvania and Washington, 2000

To identify risk factors, CDC, the Pennsylvania Department of Health, and MCHD conducted a case-control study among farm visitors during November 12-19. A confirmed case was defined as diarrhea in a person within 10 days of visiting farm A on or after September 1, with either *E. coli* O157 isolated from stool or HUS. A probable case was defined as diarrhea in a person within 10 days of visiting farm A on or after September 1. Controls also had visited farm A after September 1 but did not develop diarrhea within 10 days of the visit. Two controls per case were sought by sequential digit dialing and frequency matched by age group (i.e., <1 year, 1-4 years, 5-8 years, 9-12 years, 13-20 years, and ≥21 years). Fifty-one case-patients, or a parent or guardian for young children, and 92 controls were interviewed in the case-control study.

Case-patients were more likely than controls to have had contact with cattle (summary odds ratio [OR] = 10.9; 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.7-70.7), an important farm animal reservoir for *E. coli* O157. Activities that promoted hand-mouth contact, such as nailbiting (summary OR = 2.5; 95% CI = 1.1-5.7) and purchasing food from an outdoor concession (summary OR = 2.5; 95% CI = 1.1-5.7), were more common among patients. Handwashing before eating was protective (summary OR = 0.2; 95% CI = 0.1-0.7). All 216 cattle on farm A were sampled by rectal swab, and 28 (13%) yielded *E. coli* O157 with a PFGE pattern indistinguishable from that isolated from patients. The same strain also was isolated from a railing surface. *E. coli* O157 was not isolated from 43 of the other animal species on the farm.

Among the 75,600 persons who visited farm A during the outbreak, most were preschool-aged or school-aged, groups at risk for serious *E. coli* O157 infection.¹ No separate area was designated for interaction between visitors and farm animals. Visitors could touch cattle, calves, sheep, goats, llamas, chickens, and a pig and could eat and drink while interacting with animals. Handwashing facilities lacked soap and disposable towels, were out of children's reach, were few in number, and were unsupervised.

A total of 19,698 telephone calls were made to identify controls; 3497 household members were available. Household members were asked whether they had visited farm A since September 1 and whether they developed diarrhea within 10 days of the visit; 134 visited the farm during the outbreak, and 22 (16.4%) reported onset of diarrhea within 10 days of the visit. The expected rate of diarrhea from any cause in the general population during a 10-day period is approximately 7% (FoodNet Population Survey, unpublished data, 1998-1999). Because approximately 75,600 persons visited the farm during the outbreak, an estimated 7000 (9.4%) may have developed diarrhea associated with their visit. No further illness was reported after public access to animals was discontinued at farm A.

**Washington**

During May-June 2000, five persons with culture-confirmed *E. coli* O157 infection were reported to the Snohomish Health District (SHD). Isolates from these persons were indistinguishable by PFGE. Dates of illness onset were May 21-31, and patients ranged in age from 2 to 14 years (median: 7 years); three were male. All five patients reported abdominal cramping and diarrhea, and four reported bloody diarrhea. Three patients, aged 2-6 years, were hospitalized, and one developed HUS. Four patients attending three elementary schools had visited a dairy farm (farm B) on May 18 or 24. The fifth patient had not visited farm B but had developed diarrhea after a sibling became ill following a farm B visit. Approximately 300 persons visited farm B during the outbreak, primarily preschool- and kindergarten-aged children accompanied by adults.

On May 31 and June 1, an investigation of farm B by SHD and the Washington Department of Health revealed
that children were allowed to handle young poultry, rabbits, and goats. Goats, chickens, and a calf were kept in pens and could be touched through a fence. Children brought their own lunches and ate approximately 50 feet from the penned animals. Five animal stool samples collected from the farm were tested for *E coli* O157; all were negative.

Farm B recommended that visitors bring antibacterial wipes to wash their hands; the farm also provided a communal rinse basin. No signs were posted instructing visitors to wash their hands after touching the animals. No further illness was reported after prevention measures were instituted, including distribution of instructional material and installation of handwashing stations with soap and running water.
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**CDC Editorial Note:** The outbreaks described in this report were the first reported in the United States to be associated with direct transmission of *E coli* O157 from farm animals to humans. An estimated 73,500 cases of illness, 2000 hospitalizations, and 60 deaths occur in the United States each year as the result of *E coli* O157 infection; many *E coli* O157 illnesses are associated with ingesting contaminated food or drink. However, during 1996 and 1997, visiting a farm with cows was identified as an important risk factor for *E coli* O157 infection; 8% of persons aged ≥6 years with *E coli* O157 infection reported visiting a farm with cows during the preceding 7 days compared with 1% of controls.

Two random-digit-dial telephone surveys of 9000 persons were conducted during 1996-1997 and 1998-1999; 2% reported having visited a petting zoo during the preceding 5-7 days. In 1999 in Ontario, Canada, an *E coli* O157 outbreak among visitors to a petting zoo resulted in 159 illnesses. In the United Kingdom, farm visit-related outbreaks of *E coli* O157 infections have been reported among children. Such outbreaks have led to the development of guidelines to prevent *E coli*-related illnesses in these countries.

Of the 44 state and territorial public health departments responding to a national CDC survey in June 2000, none had laws to control exposure of humans to enteric pathogens at venues where the public has access to farm animals, and no federal laws exist that address this public health issue. Following these U.S. farm-associated outbreaks, CDC, in collaboration with the Zoonoses Working Group, National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Services, and other groups, drafted measures to reduce the risk for farm animal-human transmission of enteric infections (see box).

Before July 1, 2001, comments about prevention measures can be mailed to Strategies, Foodborne and Diarrheal Diseases Branch, Division of Bacterial

---

**Reducing the Risk for Transmission of Enteric Pathogens at Petting Zoos, Open Farms, Animal Exhibits, and Other Venues Where the Public Has Contact With Farm Animals**

- Information should be provided. Persons providing public access to farm animals should inform visitors about the risk for transmission of enteric pathogens from farm animals to humans, and strategies for prevention of such transmission. This should include public information and training of facility staff. Visitors should be made aware that certain farm animals pose greater risk for transmitting enteric infections to humans than others. Such animals include calves and other young ruminant animals, young poultry, and ill animals. When possible, information should be provided before the visit.
- Venues should be designed to minimize risk. Farm animal contact is not appropriate at food service establishments and infant care settings, and special care should be taken with school-aged children. At venues where farm animal contact is desired, layout should provide a separate area where humans and animals interact and an area where animals are not allowed. Food and beverages should be prepared, served, and consumed only in animal-free areas. Animal petting should occur only in the interaction area to facilitate close supervision and coaching of visitors. Clear separation methods such as double barriers should be present to prevent contact with animals and their environment other than in the interaction area.
- Handwashing facilities should be adequate. Handwashing stations should be available to both the animal-free area and the interaction area. Running water, soap, and disposable towels should be available so that visitors can wash their hands immediately after contact with the animals. Handwashing facilities should be accessible, sufficient for the maximum anticipated attendance, and configured for use by children and adults. Children aged <5 years should wash their hands with adult supervision. Staff training and posted signs should emphasize the need to wash hands after touching animals or their environment, before eating, and on leaving the interaction area. Communal basins do not constitute adequate handwashing facilities. Where running water is not available, hand sanitizers may be better than using nothing. However, CDC makes no recommendations about the use of hand sanitizers because of a lack of independently verified studies of efficacy in this setting.
- Person's at high risk for serious infections should observe heightened precautions. Farm animals should be handled by everyone as if the animals are colonized with human enteric pathogens. However, children aged <5 years, the elderly, pregnant women, and immunocompromised persons (e.g., those with HIV/AIDS) are at higher risk for serious infections. Such persons should weigh the risks for contact with farm animals. If allowed to have contact, children aged <5 years should be supervised closely by adults, with precautions strictly enforced.
- Raw milk should not be served.
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Cluster of Tuberculosis Cases Among Exotic Dancers and Their Close Contacts—Kansas, 1994-2000

During January 2001, the Wichita-Sedgwick County Department of Community Health (WSCDCH), the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), and CDC investigated a cluster of tuberculosis (TB) cases that occurred from 1994 to 2000 among women with a history of working as dancers in adult entertainment clubs (i.e., exotic dancers) and persons who were close contacts of exotic dancers. This report describes the results of the investigation and illustrates the need for early identification of TB clusters among women with a history of work involving exotic dancers. Of the 11 nondancer patients, six were exposed to dancers outside of the clubs exclusively. Although dancer patients identified six clubs in which they worked during their potential infectious periods, no single club could be confirmed as the site of transmission to all other dancers. Shared drug-related activities may have linked the adult patients; however, no specific location of drug use was identified. Of the nine M. tuberculosis isolates tested, all had matching IS6110 fingerprints, including isolates from six dancers.

Contact investigations of the nine infectious TB patients identified 344 contacts. Of 302 contacts with a tuberculin skin test (TST) placed and read, 76 (25%) were TST positive. Among 243 contacts eligible for 10-12 week postexposure TST, 32 (13%) had follow-up TST placed and read. Of these, 14 (44%) had TST conversion indicating recent M. tuberculosis infection. Among 72 contacts eligible for latent TB infection (LTBI) therapy, 54 (75%) initiated therapy. Of the 54 contacts who should have completed therapy by January 2001, six (11%) had documented completion.

Reported by: C Magruder, MD, R Woodruff, G Minns, MD, V Barnett, P Baker, E Brady, T Julian, MPH, Wichita-Sedgwick County Dept of Community Health; G Pezzino, MD, M Reece, A Alejos, Kansas Dept of Health and Environment. MD Cave, PhD, National Tuberculosis Genotype Surveillance Network, Little Rock, Arkansas. R Rothenberg, MD, Emory Univ School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia. Div of Applied Public Health Training; Statistics and Epidemiology Br, Div of Prevention Research and Analytic Methods, Epidemiology Program Office; Field Services Br and Surveillance and Epidemiology Br, Div of Tuberculosis Elimination, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention; and EIS officers, CDC.

CDC Editorial Note: The findings in this report indicate the need for local health departments to have sufficient resources for ongoing surveillance for TB and capacity to rapidly respond during a time of increased demand. The cluster in Kansas occurred over a 7-year period and encompassed 18 patients.

The WSCDCH TB control staff consists of a full-time TB control nurse, a part-time physician consultant, and a full-time assistant. The nurse is primarily responsible for TB case management including DOT. In addition, in collaboration with the WSCDCH Health Surveillance Unit, the nurse is responsible for contact investigations and screening high-risk persons for TB with TST. Health departments in low incidence states such as Kansas (2.9/100,000 population during 2000) may have limited resources to respond to outbreaks while maintaining the essential components of TB control, thus hampering efforts to eliminate TB.

Outbreaks of TB among persons who use illegal drugs and/or have been incarcerated can be difficult to investigate. Illegal drug users often belong to complex social networks, and members of these networks may be reluctant or unable to provide the names of their contacts to public health officials. Special techniques for exploring chains of transmission among members of complex social networks have been developed.

In this cluster investigation, follow-up rates of 10-12 week postexposure TST and completion rates of LTBI therapy were low. New approaches beyond traditional methods of TB contact investigations are necessary to follow-up contacts discovered through social network analysis. These approaches must assure that all contacts are assessed for LTBI and that those with LTBI complete therapy. This may require DOT for LTBI in an outbreak to prevent further M. tuberculosis transmission. The findings in this report underscore that all states, including those with very low TB incidence, should maintain TB control capacity and have outbreak response plans that include methods to augment this capacity during unexpected increases in M. tuberculosis transmission.