Clinical Characteristics and Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Findings in Stress (Takotsubo) Cardiomyopathy
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Stress cardiomyopathy (SC), first reported in Japan as takotsubo, is characterized by acute, profound, but reversible left ventricular (LV) dysfunction in the absence of significant coronary artery disease, triggered by acute emotional or physical stress.1 This phenomenon is identified by a distinctive pattern of “apical ballooning” and primarily affects postmenopausal women.2-5 The majority of patients have a clinical presentation similar to that of acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Accordingly, international guidelines have included SC as an important differential diagnosis of ACS.6

The precise incidence of SC is unknown, but recent studies revealed a prevalence of approximately 2% of patients presenting with ACS in the United States and Europe.2,4,5 Considerable evidence suggests that enhanced sympathetic activity might play a pathogenic role in the transient myocardial dysfunction observed in SC.7,8 However, the exact causative factors have not been fully elucidated. Despite the acute severity, complications are rare and the prognosis of patients with SC is generally considered favorable.3,5

Context Stress cardiomyopathy (SC) is a transient form of acute heart failure triggered by stressful events and associated with a distinctive left ventricular (LV) contraction pattern. Various aspects of its clinical profile have been described in small single-center populations, but larger, multicenter data sets have been lacking so far. Furthermore, it remains difficult to quickly establish diagnosis on admission.

Objectives To comprehensively define the clinical spectrum and evolution of SC in a large population, including tissue characterization data from cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging; and to establish a set of CMR criteria suitable for diagnostic decision making in patients acutely presenting with suspected SC.

Design, Setting, and Patients Prospective study conducted at 7 tertiary care centers in Europe and North America between January 2005 and October 2010 among 256 patients with SC assessed at the time of presentation as well as 1 to 6 months after the acute event.

Main Outcome Measures Complete recovery of LV dysfunction.

Results Eighty-one percent of patients (n=207) were postmenopausal women, 8% (n=20) were younger women (aged ≤50 years), and 11% (n=29) were men. A stressful trigger could be identified in 182 patients (71%). Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging data (available for 239 patients [93%]) revealed 4 distinct patterns of regional ventricular ballooning: apical (n=197 [82%]), biventricular (n=81 [34%]), midventricular (n=40 [17%]), and basal (n=2 [1%]). Left ventricular ejection fraction was reduced (48% [SD, 11%]; 95% confidence interval [CI], 47%-50%) in all patients. Stress cardiomyopathy was accurately identified by CMR using specific criteria: a typical pattern of LV dysfunction, myocardial edema, absence of significant necrosis/fibrosis, and markers for myocardial inflammation. Follow-up CMR imaging showed complete normalization of LV ejection fraction (66% [SD, 7%]; 95% CI, 64%-68%) and inflammatory markers in the absence of significant fibrosis in all patients.

Conclusions The clinical profile of SC is considerably broader than reported previously. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging at the time of initial clinical presentation may provide relevant functional and tissue information that might aid in the establishment of the diagnosis of SC.
Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging is uniquely suited for the evaluation of patients with SC. In addition to accurate visualization of regional wall motion abnormalities, it allows for precise quantification of right ventricular (RV) and LV function and the assessment of additional abnormalities (eg, pericardial and pleural effusion, LV and RV thrombi). Importantly, CMR imaging also provides markers for reversible (inflammation, ischemic edema) and irreversible (necrosis/fibrosis) injury, which may be particularly important to verify SC and exclude similar acute cardiac diseases such as myocardial infarction or myocarditis.²,³,¹⁰⁻¹²

Although various aspects of the natural history and clinical profile of SC have been described by several investigators in small, single-center populations,³,¹³⁻¹⁵ multicenter data are lacking. The aim of our study was to comprehensively define the clinical spectrum and evolution of SC, including tissue characteristics, in a large, multicenter population from Europe and North America using a comprehensive, state-of-the-art CMR imaging protocol. A secondary objective was to explore the utility of a set of CMR criteria that might aid in diagnostic decision making in suspected SC.

METHODS

Patients and Inclusion Criteria

This prospective study was conducted at 7 tertiary care centers in Europe and North America between January 2005 and October 2010 (eAppendix; available at http://www.jama.com). All participants were consecutive patients at these centers and were entered in the study at the time of initial hospitalization. At acute presentation, all patients underwent a diagnostic workup/evaluation including electrocardiogram (ECG), transthoracic echocardiogram, blood sample analysis, coronary angiogram, and ventriculogram, as well as CMR imaging if no contraindications were present. The study protocol was approved by local ethics committees and all patients provided written informed consent to the CMR imaging. The diagnosis of SC was defined through clinical consensus based on fulfilling the following criteria: (1) an acute cardiac event typically presenting with chest pain and/or dyspnea; (2) transient systolic dysfunction with marked LV contraction abnormality (akinisia or dyskinesia of the LV apical and/or midventricular or basal segments) extending beyond a single coronary perfusion bed; (3) absence of significant (>50%) obstructive coronary artery disease or angiographic evidence of acute plaque rupture; (4) new ECG abnormalities (either ST elevation or T-wave inversion) or modest elevation in cardiac troponin level; (5) absence of pheochromocytoma; and (6) absence of myocarditis or typical ischemic transmural late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) on CMR (if available). These criteria are part of the proposed Mayo criteria for diagnosis of SC.³

One to 6 months after the acute event, patients with suspected SC were readmitted for clinical (ECG and blood sample analysis) and CMR follow-up for diagnosis confirmation. Those who had no repeat CMR imaging (n=81 [34%]) were followed up with echocardiography to confirm complete recovery of LV dysfunction. Patients who died (as verified by telephone interview, direct contact with the treating physician, or contact with the local government registration) were included as having completed clinical follow-up.

Clinical, echocardiographic, angiographic, and follow-up data of the study population were extracted from medical records and based on patient interviews (eg, careful history taking for stressful events) using a standardized case report form. The CMR analyses were performed by blinded, experienced investigators in a CMR core laboratory that has proven excellent reproducibility and low interobserver and intraobserver variability.¹⁶

CMR Imaging Protocol

In 239 patients (93%), CMR imaging was performed shortly after admission. Image acquisition was performed on 1.5-T whole-body systems in all centers using a standardized CMR protocol (in Leipzig, Ulm, and Parma: Intera CV, Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands; in Calgary, Berlin, and Rome: Avanto, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany; and in Hamburg: Achieva, Philips Medical Systems). The standard protocol included steady-state free precession images for assessment of LV and RV function and regional wall motion abnormalities as well as LGE images for necrosis/fibrosis detection. In 199 patients (79%), T2-weighted images and/or early enhancement images were additionally acquired for assessment of myocardial edema and inflammation.¹¹,¹²,¹³ For edema imaging, a triple inversion recovery fast-spin echo sequence (short-TI inversion recovery) was used in contiguous short-axis views of the left ventricle. T1-weighted turbo spin echo images were acquired prior to and during the first 3 minutes after an intravenous bolus of 0.1 mmol/kg of gadolinium-based contrast agent for the assessment of the early gadolinium enhancement (EGE) ratio.¹⁸ Late gadolinium enhancement images covering the entire LV were acquired approximately 10 to 15 minutes after intravenous administration of a second bolus of gadolinium–diethylenetriamine penta-acetic acid (DTPA) (0.1 mmol/kg). In case no EGE imaging was performed, LGE images were acquired approximately 10 to 15 minutes after intravenous administration of 0.2 mmol/kg of gadolinium–DTPA. A breath-hold 3-dimensional (Achieva and Intera CV, Philips Medical Systems) or 2-dimensional (Avanto, Siemens Medical Solutions) inversion recovery gradient echo pulse sequence was used for LGE image acquisition. Inversion times were individually adjusted to optimize nulling of apparently normal myocardium (typical values, 200-300 milliseconds).

Image Evaluation

Offline image analysis was performed using certified CMR evaluation soft-
previously described.12,17 Cardiovascular and capillary leakage, was calculated as the EGE ratio, reflecting hyperemia of the myocardium compared with that of the skeletal muscle was used (T2 SI ratio12,17). The EGE ratio, reflecting hyperemia and capillary leakage, was calculated as previously described.12,17 Cardiovascular magnetic resonance findings were classified as consistent with myocardial inflammation if at least 2 of the following 3 criteria were present: (1) T2 SI ratio of at least 1.9; (2) EGE ratio of at least 4; and (3) nonischemic fibrosis (Lake Louise consensus criteria for the CMR diagnosis of myocardial inflammation).17 Late gadolinium enhancement images were assessed qualitatively and quantitatively. For quantitative assessment, a region of interest was traced in an apparently normal (ie, low-SI) area for defining normal myocardium. An automated computer-aided threshold detection set at 3 and 5 SDs (5 SDs represents the cutoff for fibrosis detection in acute myocardial infarction and myocarditis) above the mean SI of apparently normal myocardium was used to identify regions of myocardial necrosis/fibrosis in LGE images.10

Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables are expressed as number and percentage of patients. Continuous data are estimated as mean (SD) or as median and interquartile range (IQR) for nonnormally distributed data. Differences between groups were assessed using the 2-tailed Fisher exact test or the χ² test for categorical variables and using the t test for continuous data with normal distribution. Otherwise, the nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test was used. Differences between paired samples (ie, comparisons of CMR results for patients with SC at baseline and follow-up) were assessed using the McNemar test for categorical paired data and the paired t test for continuous data with normal distribution. Otherwise, the nonparametric Wilcoxon matched-pairs test was used. Subgroup comparisons were preplanned based on previously published literature. All statistical tests were performed with SPSS software, version 15.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois). A 2-tailed P<.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Between January 2005 and October 2010, 256 patients fulfilling the diagnostic criteria for SC were enrolled. Of these, 239 (93%) underwent a comprehensive CMR examination. Reasons for not undergoing CMR are shown in Figure 1. Among all patients, a clinical follow-up for diagnosis confirmation (complete recovery of LV dysfunction) was performed. Of these, 138 (62%) additionally underwent a CMR follow-up examination (Figure 1).

Presentation and Triggers

Patients with SC were a mean of 69 (SD, 12) years old (range, 30-90 years); 89% (n=227) were women, most commonly (81% [n=207]) postmenopausal (aged >50 years). Twenty women (8%) were aged 50 years or younger. Men accounted for 11% of cases (n=29) (TABLE 1). There were no age differences between men and women (P=.64).

At presentation, 225 patients (88%) reported symptoms consistent with ACS (Table 1). A limited number of patients were admitted because of other symptoms; specifically, syncope (n=9 [4%]) and asystole (n=3; [1%]). The remaining 19 patients (7%) were admitted for suspected ACS as identified during management or monitoring of noncardiac conditions (eg, medical/surgical procedures or diagnostic tests) with new ECG abnormalities, acute onset of chest pain, and/or positive troponin levels.

In 182 patients (71%), a significant stressful event less than 48 hours before presentation could be identified. Triggering conditions were emotional stress in 77 (30%) and physical stress in 105 (41%) (TABLE 2).

No differences in baseline characteristics and clinical presentation were observed across centers.

ECG and Biomarkers

At presentation, ECGs showed abnormalities in 222 patients (87%). Frequencies of specific ECG findings are listed in Table 1. The initial troponin T level was (typically only mildly) increased in 231 patients (90%). No relation was evident between ballooning patterns (typical apical ballooning vs midventricular or basal ballooning) and troponin levels (0.4 [IQR, 0.2-1.2] ng/mL vs 0.4 [IQR, 0.1-0.7] ng/mL; P=.12) as well as clinical features (eg, age, sex, stress trigger).

Coronary Angiography

All 256 patients underwent cardiac catheterization. Left ventriculography revealed typical apical ballooning in 210 (82%), midventricular ballooning in 44 (17%), and an inverted, basal pattern...
in 2 (1%). Coronary angiography showed healthy coronary arteries in 193 patients (75%), whereas in 16 patients (6%), an epicardial coronary artery stenosis of 75% or more was observed (right coronary artery, n=12; diagonal coronary artery, n=2; left circumflex coronary artery, n=2) that did not correspond to the area of wall motion abnormality. The remaining 47 patients (18%) had only mild coronary atherosclerosis (<50% luminal diameter stenosis). No coronary lesions had angiographic features of acute plaque rupture. Two patients (1%) had spontaneous coronary spasm. **CMR Imaging** Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging was performed a median of 3 days (IQR, 2-4 days) after hospital admission. Cine imaging confirmed the detected ballooning patterns (Figure 2; see interactive CMR cine imaging of the ballooning patterns at http://www.jama.com; TABLE 3), with moderate to severe reduction of LV function in all patients (mean LV ejection fraction, 47.7% [SD, 11.1%]; 95% confidence interval [CI], 47.1%-50.3%). Biventricular ballooning (Figure 2D) was observed in 81 patients (34%). Interestingly, LV ejection fraction was lower than in patients without RV involvement (mean, 43.1% [SD, 8.5%]; 95% CI, 41.0%-45.3% vs mean, 48.2% [SD, 9.4%]; 95% CI, 46.2%-49.6%; P<.001). Additionally, patients with biventricular ballooning were older (mean, 73.4 [SD, 12.1] years; 95% CI, 70.2-76.3 years vs mean, 66.5 [SD, 11.2] years; 95% CI, 64.4-68.5 years; P<.001), had significantly more frequent preceding stressful events (86 vs 65%; P=.01), and showed a trend for longer hospital stays (mean, 7 [SD, 5] days; 95% CI, 6-8 days vs mean, 5 [SD, 3] days; 95% CI, 5-6 days; P=.07).

Myocardial edema was visible in 162 of 199 patients (81%) with a distinct transmural, midventricular to apical regional distribution pattern matching the distribution of LV dysfunction (Figure 3). Focal or patchy LGE was detected in 22 patients (9%) when using a threshold of 3 SD instead of 5 SD above the mean of remote myocardium to define significant enhancement, albeit with an SI difference lower than typically observed in myocardial infarction. When using an SI threshold of 5 SD, none of the patients had evidence of LGE (Figure 4). Patients with such minor LGE had significantly higher troponin levels at presentation (0.6 [IQR, 0.3-1.7] ng/mL vs 0.4 [IQR, 0.1-1.0] ng/mL; P=.002), while LV ejection fraction (LGE positive, 47.5% [SD, 9.6%] vs LGE negative, 47.9% [SD, 11.2%]; P=.94), end-diastolic volume (P=.78), and end-systolic volume (P=.72) did not differ between LGE-positive and LGE-negative groups. No relation was evident between the occurrence of LGE and clinical presentation, mortality, age, sex, ECG pattern, or type of stress trigger.

A total of 164 patients underwent all 3 CMR protocol components required to assess the Lake Louise consensus criteria for myocardial inflammation (T2 SI ratio, EGE ratio, and LGE). Of these patients, 110 (67%) were positive for acute myocardial inflammation, with increased values for the T2 SI ratio and the EGE ratio during the acute phase (TABLE 4).
Additional CMR Findings
In 33% of patients, pleural effusion was observed, significantly more often in patients with biventricular ballooning (62% vs 19%; P < .001) (Figure 2D, asterisk). Pericardial effusion was detected in 102 patients (43%) (Figure 2A, asterisk). Interestingly, it was more frequently observed (74%) in patients with CMR evidence of myocardial inflammation. Left ventricular thrombi were identified in 4 patients (2%).

Acute Management
Initially, most patients were treated using standard cardiovascular medications for ACS (acylsalicylic acid, clopidogrel, heparin, β-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, vasodilators, and diuretics). After exclusion of coronary artery stenosis, the patients received standard supportive care for congestive heart failure with β-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin II receptor blockers, diuretics, and aldosterone antagonists. In 7 patients (3%) with severe hemodynamic compromise, an intra-aortic balloon pump was implanted. All patients with thrombi (n = 4 [2%]) were treated with warfarin with no subsequent events.

In-Hospital Survival
Four patients (3 women and 1 man) died in the hospital because of ventricular fibrillation (n = 2), cardiogenic shock (n = 1), and hypoxic brain injury (n = 1). Of these, 3 patients had apical and 1 patient had midventricular ballooning. No relation was evident between in-hospital outcome and ECG pattern, troponin level, or clinical features.

Follow-up
Another 4 patients died during the follow-up period (Figure 1). Among the remaining 248 patients, a complete clinical follow-up including CMR imaging and/or echocardiography for confirmation of LV function recovery was available. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging was performed in 158 patients (62%) after a median of 97 (IQR, 36-123) days. Follow-up echocardiography and CMR imaging showed normalization of LV ejection fraction in all patients (Figure 2). Similarly, end-diastolic and end-systolic volume decreased (Table 3). Furthermore, mean T2 SI and EGE ratios decreased significantly. Using a threshold of 5 SD, none of the patients had LGE at follow-up. No relation was evident between persistence of tissue characteristics on CMR imaging and clinical features, troponin level, or type of stress trigger.

Table 2. Preceding Stressful Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Events</th>
<th>No. (%) of Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotional stressors</td>
<td>77 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death of a relative/friend/pet</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal conflict</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic/fear/ anxiety</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger/frustration</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job problems</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe disease of a relative/friend</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis of malignancy</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad news</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical stressors</td>
<td>105 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perisurgical or postsurgical</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute respiratory failure (eg, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma bronchiale)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy/chemotherapy</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain/gastric ulcer/diverticulitis</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration of exogenous catecholamines</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic drug/vaccination reaction</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonoscopy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No identifiable stressors</td>
<td>74 (29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF STRESS CARDIOMYOPATHY

COMMENT
Our study allowed for several important observations: first, we found a considerably broader clinical profile than previously reported. Second, myocardial edema, inflammation, and absence of fibrosis have been identified as potentially important markers for diffuse, reversible myocardial injury and provide unique insights into the pathogenesis and tissue pathology of this increasingly recognized syndrome. Third, our data indicate that CMR imaging using specific criteria may be useful as a diagnostic tool for patients with SC at the time of acute clinical presentation.

Clinical Characteristics and Presentation
Our multicenter data confirm the data from previous reports. Typically, SC affects postmenopausal women after they experience a stressful event and it mimics ACS with symptoms of chest pain and/or dyspnea (n = 225 [88%]), ischemia-like ECG changes (n = 222 [87%]), and a slight increase in cardiac biomarkers (n = 231 [90%]). However, our results indicate that a broader clinical profile may be encountered in these patients. In contrast to previous studies, we found a significant percentage of men (n = 29 [11%]) and younger, often premenopausal women (n = 20 [8%] aged ≤ 50 years and n = 44 [17%] aged ≤ 55 years). Furthermore, despite
**Figure 2.** Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (CMR) Images of 4 Distinct Ballooning Patterns in Stress Cardiomyopathy and at 3-Month Follow-up

A. **Apical ballooning**

- End diastole
- End systole
- Follow-up, 3 mo

B. **Midventricular ballooning with sparing of apical and basal region**

- End diastole
- End systole

C. **Basal “inverted” ballooning**

- End diastole
- End systole

D. **Biventricular ballooning with combined LV and RV dysfunction**

- End diastole
- End systole

Images for each ballooning pattern are representative examples from a patient included in the study. A-C. Vertical long-axis views. A (left panel), asterisks indicate pericardial effusion. Middle panel, yellow arrowheads indicate the area of apical akinesia. B (middle panel), yellow arrowheads indicate the area of mid left ventricular (LV) akinesia. C (middle panel), yellow arrowheads indicate the area of basal akinesia. D, Horizontal long-axis view. Asterisks indicate bilateral pleural effusion at acute presentation. Middle panel, yellow arrowheads indicate apical LV akinesia and black arrowheads indicate right ventricular (RV) apical akinesia. See interactive CMR cine imaging of the ballooning patterns at http://www.jama.com.
careful history taking, only two-thirds of patients had a clearly identifiable preceding stressor, whereas in previous reports the percentage with preceding emotional or physical triggers was as high as 89%. Thus, our large multicenter cohort demonstrates that the absence of an identifiable stressful event does not rule out the diagnosis, and, hence, precipitating mechanisms may be more complex, such as involvement of vascular, endocrine, and central nervous systems. Such clinical heterogeneity could contribute to ambiguity in the recognition of SC and thereby affect potential management strategies. Consequently, enhanced awareness and recognition of a broad clinical profile of SC as demonstrated in the current study is mandatory for correct diagnosis and treatment among patients with suspected SC.

**Ballooning Patterns**

We observed a diversity of contraction patterns during the acute phase of SC, including apical, mid-ventricular, basal, and biventricular ballooning. Most commonly, the typical apical ballooning shape with akinesis of apical and midventricular LV segments was present. However, 17% of patients (n=40) presented with a midventricular variant with apical sparing and 2 patients (1%) with isolated basal ballooning. Since the initial description, the number of reports of apical sparing patterns in patients with SC is increasing. It is still unclear why there are different patterns of regional distribution of wall motion abnormalities. In our study and other studies, there are no apparent clinical differences between the different regional forms of transient ballooning. It has been suggested that the variations in regional wall motion mainly relate to differences in the anatomic location of cardiac adrenergic receptors and/or β-ad-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Baseline (n = 239)</th>
<th>Baseline With No Follow-up (n = 81)</th>
<th>Baseline With Follow-up (n = 158)</th>
<th>Follow-up (n = 158)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ballooning pattern, No. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical</td>
<td>197 (82)</td>
<td>68 (84)</td>
<td>129 (82)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midventricular</td>
<td>40 (17)</td>
<td>11 (14)</td>
<td>29 (18)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biventricular</td>
<td>81 (34)</td>
<td>21 (26)</td>
<td>60 (38)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV ejection fraction, mean (SD) [95% CI], %</td>
<td>47.7 (11.1) [47.1-50.3]</td>
<td>48.8 (12.1) [47.4-51.2]</td>
<td>47.5 (9.6) [45.9-49.7]</td>
<td>66.3 (6.6) [64.1-68.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-diastolic volume, mean (SD) [95% CI], mL</td>
<td>133.9 (28.9) [129.5-138.3]</td>
<td>131.5 (28.2) [125.1-137.9]</td>
<td>135.5 (29.3) [130.4-140.6]</td>
<td>118.2 (25.2) [113.0-123.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV end-systolic volume, mean (SD) [95% CI], mL</td>
<td>68.8 (22.4) [65.0-72.3]</td>
<td>67.1 (21.7) [61.8-71.6]</td>
<td>70.3 (23.1) [65.3-73.4]</td>
<td>43.2 (15.0) [40.3-45.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion, No. (%)</td>
<td>79 (33)</td>
<td>23 (28)</td>
<td>56 (35)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericardial effusion, No. (%)</td>
<td>102 (43)</td>
<td>30 (37)</td>
<td>72 (46)</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombi, No. (%)</td>
<td>4 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; LV, left ventricular.

©2011 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.
renergic receptor polymorphisms, the degree of excess sympathetic activity involved, and/or interindividually differing susceptibilities to such sympathetic stimulation.21,22

Use of CMR imaging allowed us to clarify the exact incidence of biventricular ballooning in our large patient cohort. We observed RV involvement in 34% of patients (n=81) and found an association with longer hospitalization, markers of heart failure (as reflected by a lower LV ejection fraction and a high incidence of bilateral pleural effusions), and older age. Consequently, biventricular ballooning may portend a longer and more severe course of the disease compared with patients with isolated LV involvement. This finding is consistent with previous studies.10 Clinicians should be aware of the possibility of RV dysfunction because it might have a significant effect on patient morbidity, treatment, and outcome.

CMR Characteristics and Pathophysiological Considerations
Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging has the unique ability to characterize various pathophysiological effects of reversible and irreversible acute myocardial injury (edema, hyperemia, and necrosis/fibrosis) and contributes to our understanding and differential diagnosis of this entity.2,12,23 So far, various CMR criteria have been used in rather small populations.2,24 Based on published data and our study, the largest CMR imaging series to date, we identified the following strong diagnostic criteria for SC that will require validation in other populations: (1) severe LV dysfunction in a noncoronary regional distribution pattern; (2) myocardial edema colocated with the regional wall motion abnormality (edema should be verified by a quantitative S

![Figure 4. Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Identification of Necrosis/Fibrosis in a Representative Patient With Stress Cardiomyopathy](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/)

Myocardial fibrosis was quantified, B, by selecting a region of interest in nonenhancing healthy myocardium (blue contour) and setting automated computer detection to 3 SDs (left) and 5 SDs (right) above the mean of healthy myocardium to identify fibrosis. Computer-aided signal intensity analysis detected positive late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) more than 3 SDs above the mean (red overlay), but no significant LGE more than 5 SDs above the mean was present (red contour = subendocardial border; green contour = subepicardial border of the myocardium).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Imaging Tissue Characteristics at Acute Presentation and Follow-up²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal edema, No. (%)²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated T2 SI ratio, No. (%)²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 SI ratio, mean (SD) [95% CI] (cutoff level, ≥1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated EGE ratio, No. (%)³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGE ratio, mean (SD) [95% CI] (cutoff level, ≥4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated EGE ratio and T2 SI ratio, No. (%)³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any LGE, No. (%)³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGE &gt;5 SD, No. (%)³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; EGE, early gadolinium enhancement; LGE, late gadolinium enhancement; SI, signal intensity.
²P<.001 for all comparisons.
³Assessed in 199 patients (79%) at acute phase and 139 patients at follow-up.
⁴Assessed in 164 patients (64%) at acute phase and 125 patients at follow-up.
⁵Assessed in 239 patients (80%) at acute phase and 158 patients at follow-up.
analysis, best by calculating the SI ratio between myocardium and skeletal muscle [T2 SI ratio]; a cutoff value of ≥1.9 should be used to define edema); (3) absence of high-signal areas in LGE images (a cutoff value of ≥5 SD should be used to define significance); and (4) increased early myocardial gadolinium uptake (increased uptake is defined by an early EGE ratio ≥4.0 [optimal cutoff values may vary between scanners]). The confirmative criterion (with >4-week follow-up) for all diagnostic criteria is complete or near-complete resolution. The absence of LGE has been described in many cases and is a common diagnostic criterion in most CMR imaging centers. However, a recent publication demonstrated that subtle fibrosis (LGE) may be seen in patients with SC. Indeed, 22 of 239 patients (9%) in our study showed minute local or patchy nonischemic myocardial scarring. When compared with acute myocardial infarction, the SI was much lower and the extent of LGE was smaller. In fact, with a cut-off value of 5 SD for necrosis/fibrosis detection, none of the SC patients had evidence of LGE. Thus, the absence of significant LGE (>5 SD) combined with myocardial edema and marked LV ballooning is a unique feature of SC. Importantly, the presence of less rigorously defined (threshold of 3 SD above remote mean SI) LGE during the acute phase had no persisting effect on global LV function, and there was no evidence of LGE at CMR follow-up. This recovery is consistent with the benign prognosis of SC, as visually apparent LGE is associated with a poorer prognosis in both ischemic and nonischemic cardiomyopathies. Moreover, the absence of significant LGE is consistent with the complete normalization of LV function observed in patients with SC.

As a novel marker, in the majority of patients (n = 162 [70%]), myocardial edema was present in the regions with abnormal systolic function (Figure 3). Edema is an important diagnostic target for assessing the acuity, extent, and severity of tissue damage in vivo. The exact pathophysiological mechanisms underlying the development of myocardial edema in SC remain unclear but inflammation, increased LV wall stress, and/or transient ischemia appear pivotal. High intraventricular pressure may precipitate perfusion abnormalities and—even in the absence of coronary artery stenosis—local myocardial ischemia. The observed injury (edema), however, was not more pronounced in subendocardial layers. The very uniform regional distribution of edema differs from the typical patterns observed in myocarditis with their often subepicardial and inferolateral preference (Figure 3).

Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging has also emerged as a leading diagnostic tool for assessment of myocardial inflammation. Hallmark changes in inflammation include myocardial hyperemia or capillary leakage, edema, and fibrosis, all of which can be noninvasively visualized using CMR imaging. In our study, 67% of patients who underwent inflammation assessment according to the Lake Louise consensus criteria for the CMR diagnosis of myocardial inflammation/myocarditis had evidence of active inflammation. Of these, 75% had concomitant pericardial effusion, providing supportive evidence of an inflammatory process in the acute phase. Consequently, our results strengthen the concept of an inflammatory process playing a role in the acute setting of SC. However, the precise contribution of myocardial inflammation to LV dysfunction in SC is unclear, and it remains to be established whether inflammation is a direct cause of the syndrome or a secondary phenomenon due to sympathetic overdrive and/or microvascular ischemia.

Strong evidence exists that enhanced sympathetic activity might play a central pathogenic role in transient myocardial dysfunction in patients with SC. However, neither elevation in circulating catecholamines nor contraction band necrosis, a feature of catecholamine toxicity in endomyocardial biopsies, has had consistent findings. Moreover, as shown by the current study and others, preceding stress is not evident in every case, and it would therefore seem inappropriate to assume a common trigger among all SC patients. Thus, additional hypotheses are required to explain the phenomenon, and SC may be caused by more than 1 etiology. Aborted myocardial infarction and plaque rupture have been recently excluded as underlying cause. Likewise, LV outflow tract obstruction and coronary vasospasms are not strongly supported in the literature or by our study results, despite isolated reports.

Thus, the exact pathophysiology of SC is still not established but is likely multifactorial, involving the vascular (abnormal vasoreactivity, endothelial and microvascular dysfunction), endocrine (sex differences, reduced estrogen levels), and central nervous (abnormal response to stressful events) systems. At the tissue level, myocardial edema as a sign of acute but reversible injury and diffuse inflammation in the absence of significant necrosis/fibrosis are characteristic of SC.

CONCLUSION

The clinical profile of SC is broader than reported previously, including younger patients, men, and patients without an identifiable stressful trigger. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging may provide incremental diagnostic information and could allow for verifying all relevant functional and tissue changes and therefore might contribute to the establishment of or rule out the diagnosis of SC at the time of acute clinical presentation. The combination of typical regional wall motion abnormalities, the presence of reversible myocardial injury, and the absence of significant irreversible tissue injury may serve as a very useful set of diagnostic criteria and should be prospectively tested.
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