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CHRONIC HEPATITIS C VIRUS (HCV) infection occurs frequently in the United States and worldwide. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimates that at least 3.2 million persons in the United States are chronically infected.1 In the 1990s, at least 10,000 deaths annually were directly attributable to hepatitis C, with a projection of a tripling of the hepatitis C–related deaths by 2020.1,2 Chronic hepatitis C is an important and emerging factor in hepatocellular carcinoma and is now the leading indication for liver transplantation.3 Unfortunately, HCV infection is often undiagnosed. More than 50% of people at highest risk for HCV are infected yet are unaware of their disease, leading to spread of the infection and lost treatment opportunities.4

Molecular virological techniques play a key role in diagnosis and monitoring of treatment. Because it is difficult to culture the virus, molecular techniques were instrumental in first identifying HCV, making it one of the first pathogens to be identified purely by molecular diagnostics.3 Hepatitis C virus infection is confirmed by the detection of viral RNA through nucleic acid tests (NATs), and these tests are used to monitor the response to antiviral therapy. We review currently available molecular diagnostic tests for HCV, their clinical applications, and how these tests shed light on the natural history and optimal management of hepatitis C.
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Context Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a common blood-borne pathogen that relies heavily on nucleic acid testing for confirmation of infection. Nucleic acid tests are invaluable for the diagnosis of HCV infection and provide critical prognostic information for guiding treatment and measuring the response to antiviral therapy.

Objective To review the currently available molecular diagnostic tests for HCV, their clinical applications, and how these tests shed light on the natural history of HCV.

Evidence Acquisition Search of MEDLINE (1966 to July 2006), article reference lists, and national meeting abstracts for the diagnosis and applications of molecular diagnostic tests for HCV. Studies were selected on the basis of clinical relevance.

Evidence Synthesis Qualitative nucleic acid tests have low limits of detection (<50 IU HCV RNA/mL) and are used for confirmation of HCV infection and for screening blood donations. Hepatitis C virus genotype test results provide important prognostic information related to therapeutic response and are routinely used for selecting treatment regimens. Quantitative HCV RNA testing provides prognostic information regarding likelihood of treatment response and plays an important role in monitoring the antiviral response to treatment. Sustained virological response is defined as testing negative for HCV RNA 6 months after cessation of therapy. Recent studies suggest that the rate of response to therapy is also important. For example, conversion to an HCV RNA negative test result after 4 weeks of therapy constitutes a rapid virological response and is a strong predictor of treatment success. Patients who have not had an early virological response, defined as at least a 2-log decline in HCV RNA after 12 weeks of therapy, are unlikely to respond with an additional 36 weeks of therapy, and should stop therapy.

Conclusions A sensitive nucleic acid test should be used to confirm all cases of acute or chronic HCV infection. A genotype test and quantitative HCV RNA test should be performed on all patients prior to therapy to best assess probability of response and to aid in selection of appropriate therapeutic regimen. Monitoring HCV RNA during treatment provides important information on likelihood of sustained virological response. The same type of quantitative HCV RNA test should be used throughout a patient’s treatment course.
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EVIDENCE ACQUISITION

We searched the MEDLINE database from 1966 to July 2006 for English-language articles using the following search terms: HCV, hepatitis C/diagnosis, hepatitis C/virology, hepacivirus/physiology, hepatitis C/treatment, polymerase chain reaction/methods, polymerase chain reaction/standards, polymerase chain reaction/sensitivity and specificity, accuracy, genotypes, virus replication. We further reviewed meeting abstracts from the 2006 American Association for the Study of Liver Disease and the European Association for the Study of the Liver for relevant articles. We based our recommendations on laboratory diagnosis and evaluation on the 2002 National Institutes of Health Consensus Guidelines, the 2004 American Association for the Study of Liver Disease Practice Guidelines, and the 2003 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Screening and Testing Guidelines.

EVIDENCE SYNTHESIS

Natural History of HCV Infection

Among patients exposed to HCV, 15% to 40% will clear the infection within 6 months. The remaining 60% to 85% of patients who still have detectable HCV RNA for 6 months are considered to be chronically infected. A minority of chronically infected patients will have persistently normal alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels. As a result, ALT levels and a positive HCV serology result are not adequate for the diagnosis of chronic HCV; instead, detection of HCV RNA is required to establish the diagnosis. Results from longitudinal viremia studies have indicated that spontaneous resolution of chronic HCV infections occurs at a rate of 0.50% to 0.74% per person-year annually. Unfortunately, up to 20% of individuals with chronic hepatitis C eventually develop liver cirrhosis, which may be complicated by hepatocellular carcinoma, hepatic decompensation, or death.

Nucleic Acid Testing for HCV

Nucleic acid tests directly detect the presence of HCV RNA using a combination of amplification and detection techniques. Except for certain uncommon clinical situations, NATs have supplanted the recombinant immunoblot assay as the preferred test to confirm HCV infection. Nucleic acid tests are classified into qualitative tests (quantitative polymerase chain reaction [PCR], transcription-mediated amplification [TMA]), and quantitative tests (branched-chain DNA [bDNA]) amplification, quantitative PCR, and real-time PCR). Guidelines covering the indications, interpretation, and recommended tests are listed in Table 1 and Figure 2.

In general, NATs are quite sensitive and specific (Table 2). A negative NAT result following a positive serological test result is usually indicative of a resolved infection. However, intermittent or low-level viremia may occur during chronic infection, and for this reason clinicians should perform a second NAT 6 to 12 months later. In addition, those patients with ongoing exposure to HCV can be reinfected. A positive HCV NAT result indicates active infection regardless of antibody test result following a positive serological test result.

Table 1. Use of Molecular Tests for Diagnosis of Acute Hepatitis C Virus Infection Following Known Exposure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Time From HCV Exposure, wk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Use of Molecular Tests for Diagnosis of Acute Hepatitis C Virus Infection Following Known Exposure

Most patients develop asymptomatic infection but will often have high-level viremia and elevated alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels in the acute infection period. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA can be detected 1 to 3 weeks after infection, approximately 1 month before the appearance of antibodies. If HCV RNA is still detectable after 6 months, the patient is considered to be chronically infected and the HCV RNA level will remain more or less stable (within 0.5 log). The plot shows a schematic of 3 major scenarios to explain when to initiate treatment. In cases when the date of exposure is unknown, we recommend HCV testing at initial evaluation and again 3 to 4 months later. Suggested time frames for testing are indicated based on known time of exposure, results of prior diagnostic testing, and goal of determining if or when to start treatment.

1. Repeat HCV RNA testing at 16 to 24 weeks if patient had previous negative qualitative test results.
2. Repeat anti-HCV antibody testing at 16 to 24 weeks if patient had a negative anti-HCV test result at 4 to 6 weeks after exposure.
3. Consider treatment if patient tests positive for HCV RNA 8 to 12 weeks after infection (optimal time to initiate treatment; see Table 3).
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results. In acute infections, as in occupational exposures, the NAT result will become positive within 1 to 3 weeks, several weeks earlier than serological tests (Figure 1).9

Qualitative Tests

Alberti and colleagues20 demonstrated that detection of HCV RNA in patient serum is the definitive marker of hepatitis C liver disease regardless of serum ALT levels. Thus, documentation of HCV viremia is the hallmark of HCV diagnostics in antibody-positive and in HCV antibody-negative patients with unexplained ALT elevations or liver disease documented by liver biopsy.21 The most commonly used qualitative NATs use reverse transcription PCR to detect viral RNA as reviewed elsewhere.22,23 There are 3 widely used tests for qualitative detection of HCV RNA, including 2 commercially available kits (AMPLICOR 2.0 and Amplicscreen 2.0, both by Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, Ind) and a reference laboratory test known as UltraQual (National Genetics Institute, Los Angeles, Calif; Table 2). The sensitivity is more than 96% and their specificities are more than 99%, using antibody status and elevated ALT as the gold standard.24-26 These tests have a very low limit of detection, less than 50 IU/mL.

The TMA test is a newer qualitative NAT, which appears to be more sensitive than reverse transcription–PCR tests. The VERSANT HCV RNA Qualitative Assay (Bayer Diagnostics, Emeryville, Calif) has a lower detectable limit of 5 IU/mL and sensitivity of more than 98%.27 In 1 tube, HCV RNA is captured using sequence-specific hybridization and is amplified via T7 RNA polymerase; RNA amplicons are then detected using chemiluminescent probes.

Clinical Applications of Qualitative NATs

Qualitative NATs are used to confirm viremia (especially low-level viremia)22,28 and to screen blood donations. Nucleic acid testing of blood donations for HCV RNA dramatically reduced the incidence of posttransfusion hepatitis C, with the risk of HCV acquisition dropping from 1 per 276 000 donations to 1 per 2 million donations.29 In current practice, the VERSANT and Procleix HIV-1/HCV assays (Gen-Probe, San Diego, Calif) are used for screening blood and organ donations. Increased sensitivity of these tests may well prevent 56 additional HCV transmissions annually compared with antibody screening.29

Nucleic acid tests also have clinically important applications in predicting patients at risk for virological relapse once therapy stops and in diagnosing acute HCV.30-32 In a recent study, patients who were prior nonresponders to interferon had reverse transcription–PCR and TMA testing of sera samples after 20 and 24 weeks of peginterferon and ribavirin therapy.31 Importantly, 45 participants who tested negative for HCV RNA by reverse-transcription PCR tested positive for HCV RNA by TMA at both the 20- and 24-week visits. None of these 45 participants achieved sustained virological response. Overall, TMA was superior to PCR in predicting sustained virological response (positive predictive value, 66% vs 52%). However, further studies are needed to validate the use of TMA in this clinical setting.

Quantitative Tests

There are 3 types of tests to quantify HCV RNA: quantitative reverse transcription–PCR, real-time PCR, and bDNA (Table 2).22 Quantitative PCR tests include MONITOR 2.0 (Roche Diagnostics) and SuperQuant (National Genetics Institute); they provide comparable results.34 The bDNA method differs from reverse transcription–PCR tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Situation</th>
<th>Test to Use</th>
<th>Interpretation and Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute infection suspected</td>
<td>Qualitative PCR or real-time PCR</td>
<td>Check HCV RNA and HCV antibody 4-6 wk after exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic infection suspected†</td>
<td>Qualitative PCR or real-time PCR</td>
<td>HCV RNA positive: patient is chronically infected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV antibody positive</td>
<td>Qualitative PCR or real-time PCR</td>
<td>HCV RNA negative: patient is most likely not infected, but low-level or intermittent viremia possible. Repeat RNA testing recommended in 6-12 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV antibody negative but unexplained liver disease or immunocompromised</td>
<td>Qualitative PCR or real-time PCR</td>
<td>HCV RNA positive: patient is chronically infected, unless acute HCV infection is supported by clinical situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV antibody and RNA positive, eligible for treatment</td>
<td>Quantitative tests such as quantitative PCR, bDNA, or real-time PCR</td>
<td>&gt;800 000 IU/mL is considered high, more difficult to treat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant born to HCV positive mother; infant still antibody positive at 18 mos</td>
<td>Qualitative PCR or real-time PCR</td>
<td>HCV RNA positive: patient is chronically infected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: bDNA, branched-chain DNA; HCV, hepatitis C virus; PCR, polymerase chain reaction
*Guidelines adapted from Stradler, Polywka and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.8,9,17,18
†Most recent exposure to HCV more than 6 months prior.

**Table 1. Guidelines for Hepatitis C Virus RNA Testing**
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Figure 2. Algorithm for Testing and Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Infection

Patient With Suspected Chronic HCV Infection

Positive Test Result

Perform HCV RNA Qualitative or Real-Time PCR Test

Negative Test Result

Patient Most Likely Not Infected, But Low Level Viremia Possible

Repeat HCV RNA Testing in 6 to 12 Months

Is Patient a Treatment Candidate?†

Yes

Perform HCV Genotype Test and HCV RNA Quantitative Test, if Real-Time PCR Not Performed

Genotype 2 or 3

Genotype 1 or 4

No

No

Correct Any Modifiable Contraindications to Treatment (ie, Substance Abuse Treatment) Reevaluate Annually

Liver Disease Stages
Stage 0: No Fibrosis
Stage 1: Fibrous Expansion of Portal Tracts
Stage 2: Periportal Fibrosis
Stage 3: Bridging Fibrosis
Stage 4: Cirrhosis

Stage 0 or 1 Liver Disease
No Treatment Recommended
Repeat Biopsy in 4 to 5 Years

Stage 2 Liver Disease
Consider Treatment

Stage 3 or 4 Liver Disease
Treat

Liver Biopsy Not Performed
Consider Treatment

Obtain Quantitative HCV RNA Levels at 4 and 12 Weeks of Treatment§

Did 12-Week HCV RNA Level Decline From Baseline by ≥2 Log?

Yes

Early Virological Response
Continue Treatment

Nonresponder
Stop Treatment

No

24-Week Qualitative HCV RNA Undetectable?

Yes

Genotype 2 or 3
Stop Treatment

Genotype 1 or 4
Continue Treatment for Another 24 Weeks Then Stop

Negative Test Result

Perform HCV RNA Qualitative or Real-Time PCR 24 Weeks After Finishing Treatment

Sustained Virological Response

Relapse

Positive Test Result

Nonresponder
Stop Treatment

Repeat HCV RNA Qualitative or Real-Time PCR Testing in 6 to 12 Months

HCV indicates hepatitis C virus; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

*Chronic infection is suspected if a patient’s most recent HCV exposure was more than 6 months before testing or if the patient does not have features of acute hepatitis C (recent seroconversion, alanine aminotransferase greater than 5 times the upper limit of normal, with or without features of hepatitis [ie, jaundice]).

†Treatment candidates include those without any absolute contraindications to treatment or those without relative contraindications (thyroid disease, depression) that cannot be safely managed.

‡Liver biopsy is the most accurate method of determining the severity of liver disease.

§If HCV RNA levels are negative at 4 weeks, there is a high probability of sustained virological response.
in that the detection signal is amplified rather than target RNA. The third generation assay (VERSANT bDNA 3.0, Bayer Corp, Tarrytown, NY) has a lower limit of detection of 615 IU/mL and an upper range of 7.7 million IU/mL. It is highly reproducible and the specificity ranges from 96% to 98.8%.

A critically important advance in molecular diagnostics has been the adaptation of real-time PCR methods to quantify HCV RNA. Using TaqMan technology, real-time PCR yields quantitative results with comparable sensitivity to qualitative tests. In addition, real-time PCR can accurately quantify HCV RNA levels over a linear range exceeding 6 logs (ie, 10 IU/mL to 100 million IU/mL) for purposes of therapeutic monitoring (Table 2). Therefore, a single test result serves the purpose of both quantitative and qualitative HCV NATs. The assay is faster and more cost-effective than the other techniques and has already replaced other NAT testing platforms at many institutions. However, real-time PCR assays are presently available only as in-house tests.

Because the initial HCV RNA quantification techniques reported results in different units, direct comparisons were often difficult. With the adoption of a World Health Organization international standard, units from different assays are now interconvertible. However, because there is still variability between the various assays, it is recommended that clinicians use the same assay throughout the treatment course of any given patient. Given the reduced sensitivity of quantitative NAT for HCV RNA detection, it is prudent to retest all negative specimen results by the more sensitive qualitative NATs (ie, TMA or reverse transcription-PCR).

### Clinical Applications of HCV RNA Quantification Techniques

Early clinical trials showed that patients with a baseline HCV RNA level of more than 2 million copies/mL had a 9% lower sustained virological response rate than those with less than 2 million copies/mL. Using the World Health Organization international standard, it was determined that 800 000 IU/mL corresponds to 2 million copies/mL. By extrapolation of these findings, a high viral load is considered greater than 800 000 IU/mL and a low viral load is defined as less than 800 000 IU/mL. Further studies have found that patients with low HCV RNA levels had a 15% to 39% better response rate than those with high HCV RNA levels, a finding that is consistent across trials using different formulations and dosages of interferon. However, many of these early studies used quantification tests that had not been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration; thus, these prediction cutoffs may not hold for the more commonly used bDNA and real-time PCR assays.

The rate of virological response has become an important parameter to monitor during treatment of chronic hepatitis C (Figure 2 and Figure 3). Sustained virological response is defined as testing negative for HCV RNA 6 months after cessation of therapy and is the gold standard for treatment response. Monitoring changes in HCV viral load after 4 and 12 weeks of therapy predicts the likelihood of sustained virological response. Patients who test negative

---

**Table 2. Characteristics of Available Nucleic Acid Tests for Hepatitis C Virus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Lower Limit of Detection or Range, IU/mL</th>
<th>Used to Confirm Viremia</th>
<th>Role in HCV Therapeutic Monitoring*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative tests Ultra-Qual</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Yes (blood donation screening)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPLICOR (v2.0)</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Determination of rapid and sustained virological response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampliscreen (v2.0)</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Yes (blood donation screening)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procleix HIV-1/HCV assay</td>
<td>TMA‡</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes (blood donation screening)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Versant</td>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Determination of rapid and sustained virological response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative tests SuperQuant</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>40-2 million</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Determination of pretreatment levels and early virological response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor (v2.0)</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>600-500 000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Determination of pretreatment levels and early virological response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantiplex bDNA (v3.0)</td>
<td>Branched-chain amplification</td>
<td>615-7.7 million</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Determination of pretreatment levels and early virological response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaqMan real-time PCR</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>10-100 million</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Determination of pretreatment levels, rapid, early, and sustained virological response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: HCV, hepatitis C virus; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; NA, not applicable; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; RT, reverse transcription; TMA, transcription mediated amplification.

*Pretreatment viral load is predictive of successful therapy; rapid virological response refers to undetectable HCV RNA after 4 weeks of treatment; early virological response, HCV RNA levels below 20 million IU/mL; sustained virological response, an undetectable HCV RNA level 24 weeks after treatment cessation.
for HCV RNA at 4 weeks are defined as having a rapid virological response, and those who test negative at 12 weeks are defined as having an early virological response. Compared with week-12 testing, week-4 viral load testing provides slightly better positive predictive value (75% vs 67%). However, week-12 results form the basis of so-called “stopping rules” during hepatitis C therapy. For patients infected with genotype 1 HCV whose HCV RNA levels have not declined by at least 2 logs after 12 weeks of therapy, the chance of sustained virological response is 0% to 3% and cessation of therapy should be considered. One should cautiously interpret viral load declines that are close to the 2-log cutoff because of poorer predictive value at this border.

Other clinical scenarios in which quantitative HCV RNA testing has been studied include spontaneous remission, sexual transmission, and determining severity of liver disease. Rapidly declining HCV RNA levels in the acute phase may predict spontaneous clearance, whereas levels lower than 800,000 IU/mL in the chronic phase were associated with spontaneous negativity. Although high-serum HCV RNA levels predict both oral and genital shedding of HCV, the relationship between serum HCV RNA levels and sexual transmission has not been proven to date. The relationship between HCV RNA levels and liver disease severity remain controversial. Several studies have reported significant associations between HCV RNA levels and either liver inflammation or liver fibrosis. However, other studies failed to find such associations, perhaps due to different methods for HCV RNA quantification and/or differences in patient cohort characteristics between positive and negative studies. Although the prevailing opinion is that HCV RNA levels remain fairly constant over time and are not predictive of disease outcome, the question is not fully resolved and the issue deserves more careful study from both clinical and laboratory perspectives. Therefore, a liver biopsy is important to determine the severity of liver disease.

Figure 3. Monitoring Treatment Response With Molecular Testing of Patients With Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Infection

The plot shows a schematic of 4 possible responses during treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and stopping rules. Suggested times for HCV RNA testing are shown along the same scale.

Genotyping Tests
Hepatitis C virus is classified into 6 major genotypes, numbered 1 through 6, which vary in nucleotide sequence by as much as 30%. These genotypes occupy unique geographical niches. In the United States, genotype 1 accounts for 71.5% of the total cases, genotype 2 for 13.5%, genotype 3 for 5.5%, and genotype 4 for 1.1%. Several tests are available for assigning HCV genotype. Most assays target the highly conserved 5’ non-coding region (5’NCR) of the HCV genome, but spurious mutations within the 5’NCR result in misclassification of HCV genotypes in 5% to 8% of cases. The commonly used line probe assay (INNO-LiPA HCV II, Bayer) misclassified 4 (8%) of 50 genotype 1a isolates as genotype 2a, a difference that has significant implications on treatment decisions and outcomes.

Clinical Applications of Genotyping Tests
Genotype tests are important clinically because they predict most accurately the chance of antiviral response, dictate the duration of therapy, and determine the dosage of ribavirin. Genotype is the strongest predictor of response to interferon and ribavirin; patients who had genotype 2 or 3 were 3 to 6 times more likely to achieve sustained virological response in the 2 large registration trials of peginterferon. Furthermore, for genotypes 2 and 3 (but not genotype 1), rates of sustained virological response were equivalent with 6 months vs 12 months of therapy. Therefore, any patient deemed to be a candidate for peginterferon and ribavirin should undergo the genotype test before initiating therapy.

Controversies
Molecular diagnostic testing for HCV has provided a crucial tool for addressing significant controversies in HCV management. For example, 1 meta-analysis of published articles up to 2002 reported that sustained virological response rates varied from 37% to 100% in the treatment of acute HCV compared with 12% of untreated patients. Recent clinical trials incorporating NAT has helped to optimize the timing and duration of treatment, resulting in sustained virological response rates exceeding 80% in some situations (Table 3). In a similar fashion, HCV NATs have been used to optimize treatment of chronic HCV infection. In the research setting, HCV RNA testing of nonserum reservoirs has raised the controversial issue of occult hepatitis C.
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Table 3. Optimization of Acute Hepatitis C Virus Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Total No. of Patients</th>
<th>Clinical Parameter Optimized</th>
<th>Percentage of Patients With Sustained Virological Response†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kamal et al.66 2006</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>Timing of treatment initiation‡</td>
<td>95 (Initiation at 8 weeks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92 (Initiation at 12 weeks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75 (Initiation at 20 weeks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamal et al.66 2006</td>
<td>Randomized controlled trial</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Duration of therapy§</td>
<td>68 (8-week duration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82 (12-week duration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91 (24-week duration)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamal et al.66 2004</td>
<td>Open-label, controlled trial</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Adjunctive ribavirin§</td>
<td>85 (With ribavirin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>receiving peginterferon with</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80 (Without ribavirin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or without ribavirin§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable.

*Response parameters were defined using sensitive nucleic acid tests.
†Information in the parentheses indicates treatment variable under study.
‡Time treatment initiated after exposure.
§Treatment initiated at 12 weeks after hepatitis C virus exposure for a maximum course of 24 weeks.

Treatment of Acute HCV Infection

Recent randomized trials have used molecular diagnostics to clarify controversies surrounding the timing of treatment initiation, the duration of therapy and the use of adjunctive ribavirin for acute HCV infection. Therapy of patients with acute genotype 1 infection is especially important because therapy is shortened and much more efficacious compared with therapy during the chronic phase (sustained virological response, 42%-52%).60,64,65 In a series of well-defined studies, Kamal and colleagues60-65 performed frequent ultra-sensitive NATs (reverse transcription-PCR and TMA) to define the effect of various therapeutic regimens on the HCV persistence or clearance during acute infection. The molecular tests were critical for defining treatment responses. Several controversial issues were resolved, including (1) optimal time after infection to initiate therapy (8-12 weeks); (2) optimal treatment duration (24 weeks); and (3) the important point that ribavirin is apparently not required for optimal responses during acute infection, thus reducing the risk of major adverse effects (anemia). These studies illustrate well the critical role of molecular diagnostics in shaping clinical management of acute HCV infections.

Treatment Durations for Chronic Hepatitis C

Hepatitis C virus NATs also played a critical role in optimization of therapeutic decision making during treatment of chronic HCV infections. Expert guidelines recommend 48 weeks of peginterferon plus 1000 to 1200 mg of ribavirin (combination therapy) for patients with genotype 1 infection and 24 weeks of peginterferon plus 800 mg of ribavirin for genotypes 2 or 3 infection.60,64 Since these guidelines were published, several studies have explored shortened treatment courses to reduce major neuropsychiatric and hematological adverse effects. One series of studies evaluated the efficacy of shortening treatment duration in patients infected with genotype 1 HCV who develop a rapid virological response. Researchers found that 24% of the patients receiving combination therapy achieved a rapid virological response. Among these patients with a rapid virological rate, sustained virological response rates were equivalent for 24 vs 48 weeks of therapy using weight-based ribavirin (88%-91%).45 Preliminary results of an intention-to-treat analysis of a prospective randomized trial indicate that 77% of participants with genotype 1 and a rapid virological response achieved sustained virological response after a 24-week treatment course.67 This rate is much higher than the 42% to 52% rate reported in randomized trials using 48 weeks of treatment for participants with genotype 1.60,64,65 Thus, defining rapid virological response (undetectable RNA by week 4) may be very useful for shortening treatment durations in individuals infected with genotype 1. Randomized trials have also studied the impact of shortening the treatment course for patients with genotype 2 or 3 infections from 24 weeks to 12 to 16 weeks, with mixed results.68-70 Although early results suggested that rapid virological response may identify patients requiring only 12 to 16 weeks of therapy, preliminary results from a large prospective study have not confirmed this association. Thus, results defined by molecular diagnostic testing do not support shortening treatment for patients with genotype 2 or 3 infections, and we recommend 24 weeks of therapy in this circumstance until more definitive data are available.

Other studies have examined the converse issue of extending treatment for patients with a slower virological response defined by lack of an early virological response (HCV RNA levels decreased by 2 logs after 12 weeks of therapy). In an early randomized trial, patients with genotype 1 who were naive to interferon were given 48 vs 72 weeks of combination therapy, and there was no difference in sustained virological response.71 However, patients with early virological response showed significant improvement in sustained virological response from an additional 24 weeks of therapy (29% vs 17%). This result emphasizes the potential utility of intratreatment HCV RNA quantification for tailoring duration of therapy. When considering the option of extended treatment, it is important to consider the significant adverse effects of HCV therapy, including major depression and anemia. Furthermore, the treatment paradigms that rely on rapid virological response and early virological response for tailoring therapy may not apply to emerging therapies and will need to be studied. Nevertheless, molecular diagnostic testing for HCV has played and will continue to play a criti-
cal role in optimization of therapy for chronic HCV infection.

**Occult HCV infection**

If a patient develops an undetectable HCV RNA level 24 weeks after completion of therapy, it is generally believed that HCV infection has been eradicated. This assumption is based on several long-term surveillance studies, including one that found 72 of 75 patients had undetectable HCV RNA levels in serum samples for a mean of 4 years after achieving a sustained virological response.\(^{73}\) This study also found that none of 27 patients had detectable HCV RNA in liver biopsy specimens and 40 of 100 had occult HCV infection, both during natural infection and after therapy.

**CONCLUSIONS AND PERSONAL PERSPECTIVE**

The diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment of HCV infection represent a new paradigm in the field of virology. Hepatitis C virus was the first pathogenic human virus identified purely by molecular methods. Active disease is defined by detection of viral RNA in serum regardless of antibody or ALT levels. The transition of acute to chronic HCV infection is defined by HCV RNA detection 6 months after exposure. Monitoring of the antiviral response is largely by measuring the presence and levels of virus using molecular diagnostics. Without question, the diagnosis and management of hepatitis C rely heavily on accurate molecular diagnostic tests. Important emerging issues include defining the optimal use of molecular testing across all drug regimens, including several new antiviral agents presently under evaluation in clinical trials and resolution of the controversial claim that occult HCV infection may occur.
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